

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

REVISED ORAL ARGUMENT STATEMENT (Local Rule 34.1(a))

**TO REQUEST ORAL ARGUMENT, FILL OUT THIS FORM AND FILE IT WITH THE CLERK
WITHIN 14 DAYS AFTER THE FILING OF THE LAST APPELLEE BRIEF.**

IF THIS FORM IS NOT TIMELY FILED, YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO ARGUE IN PERSON.

Short Title of Case: Glatt v. Fox Searchlight Pictures, Inc. Docket No.: 13-4478(L);13-4481 (CON)

Name of Party: Fox Searchlight Pictures, Inc. and Fox Entertainment Group, Inc.

Status of Party (e.g., appellant, cross-appellee, etc.): Appellants

Check one of the three options below:



I want oral argument.



I want oral argument only if at least one other party does.



I do not want oral argument.

An attorney whose preference depends on whether other attorneys will argue should consider conferring before requesting argument. After the appeal has been scheduled for oral argument, a motion by counsel to forgo oral argument, even on consent, may be denied.

If no party wants oral argument, the case will be decided on the basis of the written briefs. If you want oral argument, you must appear in Court on the date set by the Court for oral argument.

The Court may determine to decide a case without oral argument even if the parties request it.

If you want oral argument, state the name of the person who will argue:

Name: Neal Kumar Katyal

(An attorney must be admitted to practice before the Court in accordance with Local Rule 46.1.)

If you want oral argument, list any dates (including religious holidays), that fall in the interval from 6 to 20 weeks after the due date of this form, that the person who will argue is not available to appear in Court:

August 25, 26, 27, 28, 29; October 13, 14, 15, 16; November 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13. Co-counsel, who will not be arguing,

requests that the Court not schedule oral argument on the following additional dates: Sept. 10, 11, 16; Oct. 2, 21-24; Nov. 27-31.

ANYONE WHO WANTS TO ARGUE MUST UPDATE THE COURT IN WRITING OF ANY CHANGE IN AVAILABILITY. THE COURT MAY CONSIDER A FAILURE TO UPDATE ABOUT AVAILABILITY WHEN DECIDING A MOTION TO POSTPONE A SET ARGUMENT DATE.

Filed by:

Print Name: Neal Kumar Katyal Date: 7/17/2014

Signature: /s/ Neal Kumar Katyal